

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

DALE MAISANO,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 3:13-mc-0166
CORIZON HEALTH INC.,) Chief Judge Haynes
Defendant.)

ORDER

Pro se Plaintiff, Dale Maisano, an exceptionally litigious prisoner at the Arizona State Prison in Tucson, has begun filing a series of identical, one-page complaints in this Court, of which the complaint in this case is one. The complaints contain virtually no actual allegations of fact; Plaintiff states only that “Corizon Health Inc. is fully aware the food I’m given daily is making me quite ill. Pure torture as in *Wal-Mart v. Duke*, 131 S. Ct. 2541 (2011). They are fully aware I’m claiming Medical Malpractice & Rico Daily.” (ECF No. 1.) He seeks injunctive relief and damages in the amount of “One Trillion Dollars U.S.” (Id.)

Plaintiff is barred by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) from proceeding *in forma pauperis*. He did not submit the \$400.00 filing fee with his complaint, and he does not allege that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Moreover, the complaint is not in compliance with the Order and Restraining Order entered by Senior United States District Judge Stephen M. McNamee on August 11, 1992 in an (unsuccessful) attempt to curtail Plaintiff’s voluminous frivolous filings.¹

¹ Maisano has now filed over 1000 civil lawsuits in federal court (most in the District of Arizona), and approximately 250 during the month of October 2013, according to PACER, a national database of federal court filings.

Among other things, Judge McNamee's order enjoins Maisano from filing any civil action in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, or any other federal court, without first obtaining leave of the Court. *See Maisano v. Lewis*, CIV 92-1026-PHX-SMM (MS) (D. Ariz. Aug. 11, 1992) (Order and Restraining Order).

Pursuant to the Restraining Order, any “[f]ailure to comply strictly with [its] terms . . . will be sufficient ground to deny leave to file.” *Id.* Because Plaintiff made no attempt with his current filing to comply with the Restraining Order, the present complaint is hereby

DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

An appeal of this order would not be in good faith, and the Court will not grant leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter assign a civil action number to this case, to enter judgment and to close the case, in accordance with Rule 58, Fed. R. Civ. P.

It is so **ORDERED**.

ENTERED this the 13rd day of December, 2013.


WILLIAM J. HAYNES, JR.
Chief Judge
United States District Court